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Streszczenie

Carrot² to system grupujący wyniki wyszukiwań z najbardziej popularnych wyszukiwarek internetowych oraz innych źródeł dokumentów. Do biblioteki dołączona jest aplikacja demo, Browser, posiada ona jednak kilka poważnych wad: jakość kodu jest niska, wiele elementów jest zaszytych w kodzie, osadzenie przeglądarki internetowej jest zrobione w „hakerski” sposób. Aplikacja ta jest bardzo kosztowna w utrzymaniu, a rozszerzenie jej funkcjonalności jest praktycznie niewykonalne.

Niekotóre z wyżej wymienionych problemów są nastepstwem braków w samej bibliotece Carrot². Algorytmy grupujące w niej zawarte są parametryzowalne, jednak brakuje sposobu na pobranie listy parametrów oraz ustawienie ich wartości.

Celem tej pracy magisterskiej jest aktywny udział w pracach nad nową wersją biblioteki Carrot² oraz zaprojektowanie i implementacja nowej aplikacji demo korzystając z biblioteki Eclipse Rich Client Platform. Stworzona aplikacja powinna być rozszerzalna i dostosowana do aktualnych potrzeb projektu Carrot² w wersji 3.x.

Abstract

Carrot² is an open source project implementing algorithms for clustering search results from major Web search engines and other text document sources. There is a demo application called the Browser, but it has a number of drawbacks: poor code quality, hard-coded components, embedded Web browser, practically hacked into the Swing GUI. The Browser is increasingly hard to maintain and almost impossible to extend. Some of these problems are direct consequences of functionality deficiencies in the Carrot² library itself. Clustering algorithms are parameterized, but there is no API for retrieving these parameters and binding them to component instances.

The goal of this thesis is to take an active part in the design and development of the 3.x version of the Carrot² library and to design and implement a new demo application using the Eclipse Rich Client Platform. The new application, called the Workbench, should be well-designed and easily extensible. It will be published as part of the Carrot² open source project and should replace the Browser when Carrot² 3.x version is published.
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Chapter 1

Introduction and scope of work

1.1 Motivation

Carrot² is an open source project implementing algorithms for clustering search results from major Web browsers and other text document sources. It can automatically organize (cluster) search results into thematic categories. To demonstrate its functionality, Carrot² provides its own set of demo applications, the desktop search application called "the browser" among them (see Fig. 1.1).

The browser was initially developed as a prototype, with the plan to abandon it entirely later on and create a new, better, well-designed application for production use. It never happened though. New functions crept to existing code without taking the time to refactor existing code base, slowly turning the code into what is called "spaghetti code". Another programming-driven reason motivating a complete redesign was the GUI foundation library – Swing. Relying on Swing means that the application window does not blend nicely with the user operating system's native look. Embedding a fully featured Web browser also required extensive hacking and fiddling with native code, since Swing's support for rendering HTML is rudimentary.

The other aspect calling for a complete restructuring of the browser application is an urgent need to provide users of the Carrot² system with an application that would allow them to tune and experiment with various clustering algorithms. All parameters concerning algorithmic processing and input/output code were formerly hardcoded in the GUI, making it very difficult to tune and play with the software (because their mapping back to parameter names had to be known later for other applications), as well as add new features (because these new features had to be added to the GUI).

1.2 Goals

The main goal of this thesis was to create a brand new demo application that would not suffer from any of the previously mentioned problems. More specifically, the goals of this thesis were limited to:
1.2. Goals

- design and implement an RCP-based application for tuning parameters, evaluating performance and running clustering algorithms from the Carrot² framework (we will refer to this application as the Workbench);
- fulfill functional and non-functional requirements listed in Section 1.3,
- adhere to the Carrot²’s 3.0-version core, take an active part in its design and development,
- prepare the required documentation allowing other people to contribute and extend the resulting RCP-based application.

To achieve this goal, radical changes in the Carrot² library itself had to be introduced. A new version of Carrot² (called the 3.x line) has been proposed and rolled out in parallel with the work on this project. The development of the 3.x line is still active at the time of submission of this thesis.
1.3 Requirements

1.3.1 Use-case scenarios (functional requirements)

Carrot² Workbench is intended to be used by a variety of users. They all have different expectations and expect a different set of critical features.

Non-advanced user

Simplicity of use is essential for the non-advanced user. He or she will use the workbench as a simple graphical user interface (GUI) to one or many search engines. Clusters appearing next to the returned search results are an additional benefit from using the application.

The user expects search results to be delivered quickly (in sub-second times), with as little configuration overhead as possible (which implies some sensible default values for clustering parameters).

The non-advanced user may also be interested in some visualization of clusters’ structure, although this is not the primary search interface. We can describe the following basic usage scenario:

- select among the available clustering algorithms and search sources,
- run a search query with default configuration and parameter values,
- browse through cluster labels and their content,
- display detailed info about the selected document (preferably in an embedded Web browser).

Advanced user

An advanced user is usually a programmer that uses Carrot² to develop his own software. This user is interested in tuning input sources and clustering algorithms to his or her needs, usually by editing thresholds and configuration files. A basic usage scenario for an advanced user is to:

- run a search with previously saved parameter values,
- tune parameters while observing what changes they introduce to the structure of clusters,
- save modified parameter values.

Other features useful for an advanced user are:

- the ability to save and restore named sets of parameter values,
- possibility of importing and exporting parameter values to an XML file.
1.3. Requirements

**Carrot\textsuperscript{2} developer**

The workbench application will be used as an internal tool for Carrot\textsuperscript{2} developers to improve and facilitate debugging and performance analysis of new algorithms. The expectations of this user group are more complex. The workbench should be able to display low-level debugging information and perform some sort of benchmarking, if possible on a number of JVMs spawned as separate processes.

A usage scenario for an advanced user includes the following steps:

- configuring benchmarking parameters, including JVM parameters,
- launching benchmarking,
- observing the results and measurements (e.g., time distribution for the whole algorithm or for its specific sub components),
- comparing measurement results for different parameter values or different JVM configurations.

The above functionality is considered optional to this thesis and may be realized in part, or postponed until proper resources to implement it are available.

1.3.2 Non-functional requirements

The Eclipse Rich Client Platform framework (RCP), discussed more thoroughly in 2.1, had been chosen for a few reasons. One of the crucial decision drivers was the possibility of embedding a full native Web browser – this functionality was a big problem in the previous Carrot\textsuperscript{2} demo application.

Other non-functional requirements from user perspective include:

- GUI consistent with native look and feel of the local graphical user interface, regardless of the target platform (MacOS X, Windows, Linux),
- possibility to dynamically add additional clustering algorithms and input sources (features),
- possibility to launch workbench from a Web browser using Java Web Start technology.

Infrastructural requirements include:

- full ANT builds in headless (non-GUI) environment,
- documentation of how to add new features (search sources, algorithms) and extend the workbench,
- JDK 1.5+ compatibility.
Chapter 2

Background

This chapter is written based on a book by Eric Clayberg and Dan Rube *Eclipse: Building Commercial-Quality Plug-ins* [EC06].

2.1 The Eclipse Framework

It is hard to find a Java programmer not familiar with Eclipse. To most, Eclipse is simply a Java development environment (IDE), easily extensible with numerous plugins. To those more enlightened, Eclipse is a target platform for plugins they write themselves. Finally, to a very limited audience, Eclipse becomes a base framework on top of which they can build entire new applications, not related to Java development at all.

Eclipse was created by IBM and from the beginning it was meant to be a highly extensible platform, not a monolith application. This extensibility was accomplished by introducing concepts such as plugins and extension points. A plugin is a small portion of functionality. It can depend on other plugins and there can be other plugins that depend on it. Plugins may define extension points and in this way give other plugins the ability to extend their own functionality. In fact, most things in Eclipse are an extension: views, toolbar, menu actions, perspectives, preference pages, and nearly everything else.

Sometimes a plugin may need to include resources specific to a certain operating system (OS). In this case a fragment may be used. A plugin may have many fragments related to it and specific fragments are included when the plugin is built for different operating systems. It is also common to define locale-specific resources as fragments.

Plugins of similar functionality may be grouped into features. This makes the distribution of related plugins easier for the provider and the installation process less involving for the user.

Finally, a set of cooperating features is called a product.
2.2 OSGi and Equinox

Originally, IBM developed dedicated runtime mechanism to load and manage plugins. It was optimized for Eclipse, but it was also “reinventing the wheel” since analogical projects have been developed earlier. One of them was the OSGi project.\textsuperscript{1} It is a detailed specification that is fairly similar to original Eclipse runtime but supports additional features. With every consecutive version, Eclipse becomes more and more aligned with the OSGi model.

Equinox is an implementation of the OSGi specification. This project was started by Eclipse itself with the goal to implement the entire OSGi specification while adding some additional features which would simplify running and management of applications.

2.3 Carrot\textsuperscript{2}

Carrot\textsuperscript{2} was originally created by Dawid Weiss as a part of his Master's thesis [Wei01] with a number of significant contributions from various authors later, when the project was published under an open source license on SourceForge. The project initially reimplemented the Suffix Tree Clustering algorithm (STC) and was aimed for experiments with clustering Polish search results. Several other people from Poznan University of Technology joined the project later on, among them Stanislaw Osiński who developed and contributed a very efficient clustering algorithm called Lingo (created as a part of his Master's thesis [Osi03]).

The success of the open source project led its developers to set up a commercial spin-off company called Carrot Search. Carrot Search and Carrot\textsuperscript{2} are an example of how open source can foster innovation and fruitful cooperation with business.

2.3.1 System Architecture in Version 2.x

In version 2.x, Carrot\textsuperscript{2} defines several types of components:

- **input** this component is responsible for providing documents to be clustered (usually by extracting document snippets from a search engine, e.g., Yahoo!, Google, Lucene, etc.),

- **filter** this is a generic type of data-processing component, used to transform input into output. Anything could be a transformation: case normalization, feature extraction, actual clustering, etc.,

- **output** this component is the sink for received documents and clusters as they appear in the input.

A sequence of components is defined as a *processing chain*, where output of one component is the input of another. Almost every component required other specific components to precede or follow it in the chain. It is the programmer's job to create a chain in such a way that all dependencies are resolved properly (although the code checks for illegal component combinations).

\textsuperscript{1}For more information regarding the OSGi model, refer to the following Web sites: [B] and [C].
Components can be configured using parameters. Parameters are always passed as character strings, although some of them are in fact numbers or enumerations. Parameters are not documented anywhere besides the source code.

2.3.2 System Architecture in Version 3.x

In version 3.x two major deficiencies of version 2.x were addressed:

- lack of the application programming interface (API) for extracting metadata about parameters,
- “generic” components, which can be used in one scenario anyway.

Metadata extraction API

Let us explain the terminology used in this section. An attribute is a named value that affects the way certain components work (an input attribute) or carries the result of processing performed by a component (an output attribute). This naming is an analogy to various contexts containing attributes encountered in Java Web applications. Attributes in Carrot\textsuperscript{2} 3.0 can have different scope:

- **instance scope** In this scope an attribute is initialized once, at the time of creation of a given component. Then, the component can be reused for more than a single request (e.g., when component pool is used), but the attribute is not altered anyhow.

- **request scope** In this scope the attribute is initialized before every request, and its value is collected and placed in the request context after completion of every request.

Each attribute has a specified type (numeric, string, enumeration value, etc.), default value and additional annotations specifying its runtime behavior. In order to describe an attribute, the following set of annotations can be used:

- @Attribute – indicates a given class’ field is an attribute,
- @Input, @Output – indicates the direction of value binding,
- @Init, @Processing – specifies the initialization scope of an attribute,
- @Required – used to force mandatory initialization values for attributes that should be initialized explicitly, can only be used in combination with @Input.

Whenever the type of an attribute is not enough to specify its valid value range, there is a need for additional constraints. Coming up with a way of defining constraints is a challenge. In order to fit in with the rest of the metadata API, constraints should be defined with annotations. But one @Constraint is not enough: it tells nothing about actual condition the value should meet. A set of annotations is more desirable, e.g., @Range(min=0, max=10), @Size(100), etc. The solution was to introduce a meta-annotation @IsConstraint and
2.3. Carrot\textsuperscript{2}

an abstract class \texttt{Constraint}. This class defines methods for validating the value of an attribute. Actual constraint class like \texttt{@Size} is itself annotated with \texttt{@IsConstraint} and points to a class implementing the validation code. This way it is really simple to add several kinds of constraints and treat them all in the same way (see Figure 2.1 on the following page).

Since attributes will be displayed in the \textit{GUI} there is a need for some descriptive, user-friendly information: label, tooltip, description and the like. More complex components might have up to several dozen attributes (the record-holder is the Lingo-3g algorithm, which has 55 attributes). Most of them require expert knowledge in order to understand their meaning and how they affect clusters’ distribution. It would come in handy if attributes could be grouped, for example into semantic groups or by level of expertise needed to understand them.

The designers of Carrot\textsuperscript{2} 3.0 decided that this kind of metadata will not be defined using annotations, since they are not programming-related (will not change at runtime). The first thought was to store them in XML files kept together with the source code. This approach was abandoned because it created multiple files which had to be updated whenever an attribute was added, removed or changed.

Another thought was to place attributes’ description in the JavaDoc – a form of in-code documentation that can be extracted from source files in Java, but is not accessible after compilation. The final solution was to generate XML files from JavaDoc during the build process and then use them at runtime to provide descriptive information about attributes and other program components.

\textbf{Component lifecycle}

Components are managed by a \textit{controller}. The controller is in charge of creating components, binding values of input attributes, collecting values of output attributes, and final cleanup of components after they are about to be discarded. It is also responsible for managing components in a multi-threaded execution environment (the assumption is that components are not thread-safe).

The simplest controller-component interaction is shown on Figure 2.2 on page 10. In this case the controller is created only to perform a single request and is destroyed immediately afterward. The important steps here are:

1. input instance attributes are bound upon creation of a component,
2. \texttt{init()} method gets invoked – additional initialization can be performed,
3. input request attributes are bound,
4. \texttt{beforeProcessing()} method gets invoked – preparation for request handling based on request-time input attribute values can be performed,
5. \texttt{process()} method gets invoked – actual processing performed,
FIGURE 2.1: The mechanism of defining a new constraint.
Figure 2.2: Controller-component interaction – simple case.
6. `afterProcess()` method gets invoked – cleanup (releasing of all the resources allocated in `beforeProcess()`) can be performed.

7. all output request attribute values collected,

8. `dispose()` method gets called – cleanup (release of all references, so garbage collection can proceed).

The above scenario is simplified because only one component is used. Typically more components will be needed in order to handle a request. They form a processing chain just like in the earlier version of Carrot². Controllers maintain an attribute map for each request, which is an analogy to a request context in Web applications. This map is passed to each component along the chain, as shown in Figure 2.3 on the following page.
Figure 2.3: Processing chain with attribute map
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High-level design overview

3.1 Design goals

While working on a design for Carrot$^2$ Workbench, there were a few key issues to consider:

1. the Carrot$^2$ library must compile without Eclipse plugins and run without Eclipse runtime (because of constraints placed by project maintainers),

2. the list of document sources and clustering algorithms cannot be hard-coded in any way and should be easily extensible,

3. the list of attributes and parameters that the application needs to work with cannot be predicted in any way, but still all attributes should be presented and editable.

In order to achieve goal 1, not a single line of code inside Carrot$^2$ project can depend on Eclipse packages. In practice this means that the only way to hold plugin-related information inside Carrot$^2$ library projects is by using plugin-specific metadata files (see Appendix A.1).

Achieving goals 2 and 3 was a textbook example of using extension points. Extensions and extension points are defined using plugin.xml file, so they do not interfere with regular Carrot$^2$ code.

3.2 System Components

Coarse architecture diagram is presented in Figure 3.1. Let us explain the purpose of every element in subsections below.

3.2.1 Carrot$^2$ Core Library

This is a set of Carrot$^2$ projects that specify all needed interfaces and utilities for implementing specific document sources and algorithms. These include (all in org.carrot2.core package):
3.2. System Components

- **ProcessingComponent** interface – defines the life cycle of a Carrot² processing component (see 2.3.2 for more details),

- **ProcessingComponentBase** – an abstract class that provides an empty implementation of the **ProcessingComponent** interface,

- **DocumentSource** – marker interface for processing components providing documents for further processing,

- **ClusteringAlgorithm** – marker interface for processing components which perform clustering of documents,

- **Controller** – definition of controller that performs processing using processing components,

- metadata extracting API described in Section 2.3.2.

Using these core interfaces two Eclipse extension points have been defined:

- `org.carrot2.core.algorithm`,

- `org.carrot2.core.source`. 
New document sources and algorithms can be added to the workbench by extending one of these extension points (step-by-step instruction of how to add new extension point can be found in Appendix A.2).

3.2.2 Document sources and algorithms

Document sources and algorithms are system components that depend on the Carrot\(^2\) core library. They provide the actual functionality of the workbench – document sources (information to be clustered) and algorithms (filtering and clustering rules).

Considering these components in separation from the rest of the system allows them to be distributed as an integral part of the Workbench or as completely separate features, downloaded and installed on demand from third-party sources. In practice this means that anyone can implement processing components and integrate them with the workbench. This possibility will be explored initially by Carrot Search, a commercial spin-off company created by authors of the Carrot\(^2\) project.

3.2.3 Workbench Core

Once we have defined extension points for document sources and algorithms, it is time to engage them into some processing and display some results. The workbench core provides a number of GUI components for this (see Figure 3.2).

**Attributes editing component** Generic component that can display any set of attributes and can be embedded inside any view that needs to display attributes. This component can notify listeners whenever attribute value is changed.

**Attributes grouping component** A component that displays attribute groups, each group is displayed using previously mentioned attributes editing component.

**Cluster tree component** Tree-like component that displays clusters hierarchy and can be embedded inside any view. Provides selection events whenever selection on the tree is changed.

**Document list component** A component that displays documents using embedded system Web browser. Listens to selection changes and displays documents of the cluster that is currently selected.

**Search view** Workbench view where all source and algorithm can be chosen, any required attributes can be set, and processing can be started.

**Results editor** Displays results of the processing started using the search view.
FIGURE 3.2: Workbench, main window with all GUI component: 1 – Search view, 2 – Cluster tree component, 3 – Document list component, 4 – Attributes grouping component, 5 – Results editor. Attributes editing components are surrounded with green border.
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Implementation

The implementation of the workbench was an active cooperative effort, led by the author of this thesis. In the spirit of open source collaboration, no extensive plans or design was prepared and development followed the needs and expertise of team members. This chapter presents some of the interesting issues and problems encountered during the implementation phase. A history of all issues and progress is available in Carrot² JIRA and on-line mailing lists.

4.1 Working schedule

Actual implementation was scheduled to last for three month, from March to May of 2008. It was divided into intervals lasting one month each:

- March – create definitions of extension points, the Search view, simple query-results view
- April – improve results views, it should consist of: Clusters hierarchy tree, Documents list and Attributes view; all of them should be visible as separate context-sensitive views and as a part of query-results view
- May – headless build of the Workbench, saving and loading of attribute values, cluster-tree state restoring, perspectives for different tasks, embedding of rich flash visualization

4.2 Attribute editors

The attributes editing component (described in Section 3.2.3) was the hardest bit to design in an easily extensible way. There are two main difficulties:

- the set of attributes to display is not predictable,
- attributes have different types and are constrained; this component should ensure that only correct values are entered by the user.
4.3. Save-restore feature

Eclipse RCP has a built-in mechanism for persisting application state between sessions. The framework restores application window layout but it is the component’s responsibility (e.g., view, editor, etc.) to save and restore its own state. The save-restore feature highly affects the usability of the application and is extensively used throughout the Workbench application.

The saving procedure takes place during the shutdown sequence. It is performed in a top-down fashion: every component saves its own state and asks its children to do the same. A memento object is provided for storing necessary state variables. Memento provides support for mapping string keys to primitive values and to have other memento objects as children. The component can add child elements to the provided memento and deliver them to its own children. This way, there is a single root memento object in the entire system, persisted to the workbench.xml file and saved in the workspace. The state restoration procedure is analogical, but in the reverse direction.

One major problem occurred while reopening recently visible editor windows. It is a two-phase process. First, an editor input is restored. In the Workbench the input consists of search parameters: a document source component identifier, an algorithm identifier, and an attributes map (attributes required by the document source component are kept inside this map). Carrot² provides a mechanism for persisting these attribute using the Simple
4.3. Save-restore feature

Figure 4.2: Algorithm used by attribute editor's factory.
4.4 Headless build

XML framework (see [F]). During data deserialization from XML, the framework needs to instantiate Class objects dynamically – the problem is in choosing the right class loader to do it.

Simple XML is bundled as a separate plugin and has its own class loader. By default, the plugin’s class loader can load classes from within this plugin and from all plugins that it depends on. Unfortunately, this was not enough in our case since deserialization takes place at Workbench core level and actual component classes reside in plugins; such a situation inevitably led to ClassNotFound exceptions.

The solution was to use a buddy classloading feature of the OSGi framework. When this mechanism is used, class loader searches for class definitions among buddy plugins, if it fails to find a class through normal routes. List of buddies is specified using a buddy policy. Eclipse provides several built-in policies. In order to solve our problem, the dependent policy was accurate – this policy means that all dependent plugins become buddies.

A more detailed information on buddy classloading can be found in [JM05].

4.4 Headless build

Automated building of RCP applications has been a nuisance for a long time. At the moment of writing template build scripts are bundled as a part of the PDE, but their actual use is still far from trivial.

The Eclipse automated build needs only the product definition – Workbench.product file – and the PDE installed. PDE provides dedicated Apache ANT tasks which do all of the necessary work. In order to use those tasks, PDE needs to be executed in the so-called “headless” mode.

A set of hooks is defined so that the build process can be customized. The Workbench build script uses two of them:

postSetup Projects are copied from a Carrot$^2$ directory structure to the structure required by the build process.

preAssemble This hook is called after all of the plugins have been compiled and right before they are packaged into JAR files. The metadata XML files (described in Section 2.3.2) are generated here.

Each successful build results in a Workbench application (distribution). The build is part of the continuous integration loop at Carrot$^2$ and the results can be downloaded in a binary form from the Carrot$^2$ server http://builds.carrot2.org/.

4.5 Testing

Eclipse provides a test framework which can be used for an automated unit testing. Tests are created using the standard JUnit framework, but they are executed within an Eclipse runtime. This way all errors like missing dependencies or Access Restriction errors can be
4.6 Various usability problems

4.6.1 Perspective bar

At the moment of writing, the Workbench provides two default perspectives: search and tuning. The Perspective Bar component allows switching from one perspective to the other. We wanted this bar to be docked on the top right, as it is by default in the Eclipse JDT. In the Workbench, however, the bar did not seem to work like in the JDT. When choosing Dock On → Top Right from a context menu, the bar did not move. After adjusting the bar to the left, an awkward empty space appeared on top of the window (see Figure 4.3).

This bug was quite a show-stopper. Further investigation of this issue showed that this was an apparent bug of the RCP itself. RCP allows to define which components are shown in an application window. An application developer may choose any combination of the toolbar, status line, menu bar, progress indicator, and the perspective bar. The API allows to set visibility flag for all of the above separately. It turns out that the perspective bar works correctly only if the toolbar is visible.
4.6. Various usability problems

We reported this bug to the Eclipse Bugzilla as issue numbered 235203\textsuperscript{1}. It is still unresolved at the moment of writing.

### 4.6.2 Actions location in results editor

The results editor (shown in Figure 3.2) consists of 3 components: clusters, documents and attributes. Each of these components is also available as a separate view. Each of them can contribute component-specific actions which should be enabled regardless whether embedded as a part of the results editor or within a view.

In Eclipse, views have a toolbar created by the framework (as shown in Figure 4.5). Editors also have a toolbar designed for their needs – it becomes a part of the main toolbar (shown in Figure ??). Neither of these locations satisfied our needs: our goal was for actions to become part of the editor itself.

Finding a right place for the actions in the editor was problematic since they should not be grouped together. A solution was to use the Eclipse forms package: an alternative way of creating GUI for Eclipse applications. The forms allow to achieve the Web-like look in desktop applications and are used mostly for creating form-like editors. Only a small fraction of the forms is used in the Workbench, namely sections. A section consists of: a title bar, an optional text control placed to the right of the title text, a description, and a content. The text control was used to place a toolbar. The content of a section is one of the components. Sections are put on a form which has the title bar with the text control itself. Editor-wide actions are put on the form toolbar placed as the text control on the form title bar.

A component creates actions on a toolbar that is passed to it. This way, the same set of actions is enabled on a view and in the results editor (see Figures 4.8 and 4.9).

\textsuperscript{1}https://bugs.eclipse.org/bugs/show_bug.cgi?id=235203
4.6. Various usability problems

**Figure 4.6**: Sequence presents how components inside the results editor are created.

**Figure 4.7**: Sequence presents how components inside a view are created.
4.6. Various usability problems

**Figure 4.8:** A component toolbar and the main editor toolbar.

**Figure 4.9:** A component toolbar in the view.
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Summary and conclusions

The goal of this thesis was to redesign the Carrot\(^2\) architecture and create a demo application for the new version of the Carrot\(^2\) library using Eclipse Rich Client Platform. The application was to demonstrate the possibilities of the library as well as be the first smoke test of the new design.

The work on the new 3.x version of the Carrot\(^2\) began even before the work on this thesis started. Several aspects of new design have been changed later on. Perhaps the biggest change was the complete redesign of the constraints definition mechanism. The first concept was to create a static field of a certain type that would hold information about constraints. It did not blend with general principle of using annotations. Current way of defining constraints (see Section 2.3.2) is much more elegant and does not stand out from the rest of the annotation-based architecture.

The next step was to get acquainted with the Eclipse Rich Client Platform. This is not an easy framework, where everything is self-explanatory and can be used even without fully understanding it. The initial work began with reading the two books from The Eclipse Series ([EC06] and [JM05]). The first of those books was especially informative and proved to be of great value throughout the implementation period.

The RCP proved to be a really complicated framework at times and using it has been a challenge. On the other hand, it forces the developer to stick to design patterns and principles that make the final product better. Overall, RCP has been a great learning experience. Some of the solutions used in RCP can be later adapted to be used in applications developed using other tools.

The final output of this thesis – the Workbench – fulfills most of the requirements it was given in the beginning. Thanks to the use of extension points, adding of a new processing component does not require extensive changes or programming work other than defining a few interfaces. This used to be a real pain with the previous demo application.

The Workbench is available as a part of the Carrot\(^2\) project (published on SourceForge and http://www.carrot2.org). It will be distributed as an integral part of Carrot\(^2\) when 3.x version is released, replacing the old browser completely.
FIGURE 5.1: The final version of the Carrot$^2$ Clustering Workbench.
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Appendix A

Technical low-level bits

A.1 Converting a Java project to a plugin project

Select a Java project in Package Explorer, choose PDE Tools > Convert Projects to Plug-in Projects and select your project. Two files have been added to your project:

- **META-INF/MANIFEST.MF** – stores all information needed for a project to be treated as OSGi bundle: name, version, dependencies, runtime requirements etc.
- **build.properties** – properties needed during building of a plugin are kept in this file.

Both of those files are edited using Manifest Editor. It is opened for MANIFEST.MF file. It is one of the multi-page editors, let us describe each page shortly:

Overview

This page is used to edit general information about a plugin, including:

- **ID** – unique identifier of the plugin. It is recommended to create an ID using Java package convention. Identifier should start with company name (usually reverted web-site address e.g. org.carrot2), this way each company has to ensure uniqueness of the rest of the ID. Of course, you can use ID that does not follow this recommendation, it would look weird though.

- **Version** – plugin version consists of 3 parts: major, minor and service version number, e.g. 1.0.0. Optional alphanumeric qualifier can be added at the end. It is not interpreted by Eclipse runtime in any way, but may include additional information, like build date or repository revision number. Qualifier is usually added during build process, so it should not be specified in MANIFEST.MF file.

- **Activator** – plugins can perform custom actions when being activated by extending Plug-in class. Carrot\(^2\) components must leave this field empty! Otherwise Eclipse plugins would be required to compile Carrot\(^2\) code.
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- Singleton – All plugins planning to use plugin registry should be singletons. If project contains document source or clustering algorithm definition, this option should be checked.

- Execution Environment – specifies minimal execution environment required to run the plugin. Since Carrot$^2$ is 1.5+ compliant, J2SE-1.5 environment should be chosen.

**Dependencies**

Two kinds of dependencies can be specified here:

- Plug-in dependencies – all the plugins that are needed at runtime should be listed here (optionally with acceptable versions range),

- Imported packages – java packages that need to be accessible, but it is irrelevant, in which plugin they will be found.

Every plugin has it's own class loader and information contained on this page are used by it to find class definitions. If plugin has successfully compiled and built, but throws NoClassDefFoundError during execution, than most likely some required plugin/package is not listed on this page.

**Runtime**

On this page two aspects of plugin's runtime behavior are specified:

- Exported packages – I recommend adding all packages here, unless you have really good reason to do otherwise.

- Classpath – All resources (libraries or directories) that need to be added to plugin's classpath should be listed here.

**Extensions**

List of extensions is specified here. This page will be used greatly in sections A.2 and A.3.

**Extension-Points**

List of extension points is specified here. You most likely will leave this page empty.

**Build**

Most important part here is Binary build. All folders that need to be embedded inside a plugin should be checked in this list. This will most likely be directories with images, templates etc.
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In this section new document source will be added. If you want to add a new clustering algorithm, only the extension point is different, the rest of the steps are alike.

In order to add new document source do the following:

1. Go to Extensions page in MANIFEST.MF editor.
2. Add new org.carrot2.core.source extension.
3. Fill in the id of extension, otherwise it will be set to defaults value id1, which is not very descriptive.
4. Element source should be created along with extension. If it was not, add it now.
5. Fill in all attributes:
   - class – fully qualified class name of document source component. Must implement DocumentSource and be accessible from other plugins (public and exported in Runtime section of MANIFEST.MF editor – see A.1 for details).
   - label – name of the component in human-readable form.
   - icon – image file (preferably with transparent background and 16x16 size). Remember to add image directory to Binary Build (see A.1)

You should notice that new file plugin.xml was added to the project. This is the file, where extension points and extensions are defined. They cannot be put into MANIFEST.MF file, since extensions are not a part of OSGi specification.

To add a new clustering algorithm, extends org.carrot2.core.algorithm extension point and point to the class that implements org.carrot2.core.ClusteringAlgorithm.

A.3 Adding a new attribute editor

It might happen that your newly-created component has some uncommon attributes and Workbench does not provide editors for them by default. This can be easily resolved by creating new attributeEditor extension.

**Important** Editors cannot be created inside the same project that component is created in. If they were, project would have to depend on Workbench Core and SWT plugins and couldn’t be compiled outside of Eclipse RCP. New plugin can be created for sole purpose of adding editors, or they can be added to one of the existing Workbench plugins.

In order to add new type editor do the following:

1. Go to Extensions page in MANIFEST.MF editor.
2. Add new attributeEditor extension – you can skip this step, if you already have existing extension. Many editors can be created inside one extension.
3. Add new **typeEditor** to existing extension.

4. Fill in **attributeClass** – fully qualified name of a class that this editor can serve. Editor might be used for all subclasses and implementing classes too. This property is required.

5. Fill in **class** – fully qualified class name of an editor.

6. If editor is suitable for specified attribute class only when certain constraints are also present, add **constraints** element.

7. Add at least one **constraint** element.

8. For each constraint fill in **constraintClass** – fully qualified annotation class name. Annotation needs to be annotated with **@IsConstraint** meta-annotation (see 2.3.2 for details).

9. If there are multiple constraints specified, fill in the **allAtOnce** property. If set to true, all constraints will have to present for this editor to match the attribute. Otherwise, only one of them will be enough.

In order to add new dedicated editor do the following:

1. Redo first 2 steps from previous instruction.

2. Add new **dedicatedEditor** to existing extension.

3. Fill in **class** – fully qualified class name of an editor.

4. Fill in **attributeId** – identifier of a attribute.

5. Fill in **componentClass** – fully qualified name of a component class that contains this attribute.

**Implementation details**

All attribute editors must implement **IAttributeEditor** interface. There is an empty implementation provided for convenience. Meaning of different methods in this interface:

- **init()** – first method called in lifecycle of the editor. Information from attribute descriptor can be extracted here and stored for later use.

- **createEditor(parent)** – controls are created here

- **setValue()/getValue()** – pair of methods for setting/getting current value of a attribute. Object returned by the getter must be compatible with attribute type.

- **dispose()** – last method called in lifecycle – good place to dispose of any allocated resources, especially system resources acquired like images, fonts and colors.
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`add/removeAttributeChangeListener()` – standard listener management methods. Every time value of an attribute changes, listeners need to be notified.

`saveState()/restoreState()` – there might be a need to save state of the editor between sessions. These methods support build-in Eclipse save-restore feature.
CD Content

A CD attached to this thesis contains the following resources:

- the PDF version of this thesis
- the Workbench source code
- the Workbench binary version for Windows and Linux